Complaint Monitoring Procedure	



Title of Procedure	Со	mplaint Monit	orin	g – Procedure		
Approved by	SIV	IT				
Approval Date	01/	07/2021				
Owner	Dir	ector of Custo	ome	r Experience		
Author	Не	ad of Policy a	nd (Customer Stand	lards	3
Version	1.0					
Period of Review	36	months				
Date of Review	03/	07/2024				
Lead Directorate	Cu	stomer Exper	ienc	e		
Stakeholders		Partnership Forum	\boxtimes	Human Resources	\boxtimes	ICT
		Staff Forum	\boxtimes	Property		Other
		Finance	\boxtimes	BR24		
	\boxtimes	Operations	\boxtimes	Business Development		
	\boxtimes	Outcome 1		Outcome 6		Outcome 11
Scottish Social Housing Charter Outcomes and	\boxtimes	Outcome 2		Outcome 7		Outcome 13
Standards this policy		Outcome 3		Outcome 8		Outcome 14
helps to achieve		Outcome 4		Outcome 9		Outcome 15
		Outcome 5		Outcome 10		
Bield Objectives this		Objective 1		Objective 3	\boxtimes	Objective 5
policy helps to achieve		Objective 2	\boxtimes	Objective 4		Objective 6

What you will find in this procedure

1	Introduction	4
2	Monitoring	
	Quality Assurance Framework	
	Quarterly Information	
	Monthly Complaint Reports	
	Weekly Complaint Reports	
App	pendix 1 Complaints Handling Quality Assurance Framework	
1.	Complaint number	6
2. [Date of complaint	6
3. E	Email of complaint handler	6
4. <i>l</i>	Area of business	6
6. V	What is the error	7
7. \	Was the complaint recorded as resolved	7
Red	eceiving the complaint	8
	Decision about complaint	11
	File management	13
Apr	pendix 3 Complaint Quality Assurance Report	

1 Introduction

- 1.1. Our vision is a Scotland where people of all ages are respected, can make their own choices, and lead independent and fulfilling lives.
- 1.2. Our mission is to improve the quality of life of older people by offering a diverse range of housing, care, and other services.
- 1.3. This procedure embodies our values, which are:

Honesty Equality and Diversity Ambition

Dignity Integrity Caring Kindness

- 1.4. There are times that we will get things wrong, and customers are not happy with the service we provide. We must make it easy for customers to tell us when things go wrong so that we can put it right.
- 1.5. As we are committed to providing high-quality customer services, customer complaints are a valuable source of customer information that can help us improve our services. We want our customers to have confidence that we listen, learn and take prompt action to put matters right.

2 Monitoring

2.1. Complaints will be monitored and audited through the Bield complaint system through several approaches.

Quality Assurance Framework

- 2.2. Complaints recorded on the complaint system will be subject to a quality assurance process. The purpose of this process is to
- Provide confidence to Board that the complaint handling process as set out in the Complaint Policy is being adhered to
- Identify support requirements and training needs
- Provide a learning and development tool
- Evidence performance issue
- 2.3. Every complaint will be subject to an element of quality assurance by using the complaint handling form.
- 2.4. This form will check
 - Documentation
 - Date are accurate
 - Response is recorded
- 2.5. A copy of this form is shown at Appendix 1.
- 2.6. In addition, a each month, at least three complaints will be selected to go through the quality assurance framework.
- 2.7. The selection process will be random, and only focus on Stage 2 complaints.
- 2.8. Only complaints that are closed will be selected to go through the quality assurance framework.

- 2.9. A copy of this full Quality Assurance Form is shown at Appendix 2.
- 2.10. Colleagues are encouraged to provide any further information or detail as requested by the auditor.
- 2.11. The framework has six sections which each reflect an aspect of complaint handling and management. These are:
- 1. Receipt
- 2. Agreeing on the complaint
- 3. Investigation
- 4. Decision
- 5. Learning from complaints
- 6. File management
- 2.12. Once completed, the report will be shared with the complaint investigator and their line manager, using the email outlined in Appendix 3.
- 2.13. An audit report will be compiled and shared with the Senior Management Team quarterly.

Quarterly Information

2.14. Good quality, up-to-date information for customers on complaint handling performance including how complaints have been used to improve services if applicable will be published on the Bield website.

Monthly Complaint Reports

- 2.15. A monthly report will be prepared for the Leadership Team meeting which is scheduled to take place at the beginning of each month. This will include a full list of lessons learned.
- 2.16. Members of the leadership team are encouraged to share and discuss this report through relevant channels (eq team meetings).

Weekly Complaint Reports

- 2.17. The Leadership Team will be sent a report weekly outlining the open complaints currently on the complaint handling system. The report will be generated using the SMT report builder on Cirrus.
- 2.18. The open reports will be highlighted using a RAG system to highlight
- Complaints open still within target timescales (Green)
- Complaints open approaching target timescales (Amber)
- Complaints open past target timescales (Red)
- 2.19. The Leadership Team will be asked to share these reports with relevant colleagues to encourage complaints to be investigated, responded to, and closed within target timescales.

Appendix 1 Complaints Handling Quality Assurance Framework

Complaint Handling QA Form Updated April 2022 * Required	
* This form will record your name, please fill your name.	
1 . Complaint number	
2. Date of complaint	
Format: M/d/yyyy	
3. Email of complaint handler	
4. Area of business O BR24	
O Housing	
O Meals	
O Owner Services	
O Property	
O Registered Services	
5. Please select issues relating to complaint handling No errors Complaint recorded in error An error with the complaint made date An error with the complaint resolved date Origination document missing Correspondence documentation missing Origination document - no date stamp	

O ASB complaint
O Data breach complaint
O Neighbour dispute O
Other
7. Was the complaint recorded as resolved O Too early - days to be added (this will need to be added manually)
O Too late - days to be removed (this will be done automatically by Cirrus)
8. How many days need added?

Appendix 2 Full Quality Assurance

 Date of review * Format: M/d/yyyy Who is undertaking the review *
Format: M/d/yyyy
2. Who is undertaking the review *
O Pamela
O Zhan
O Laura
O Chrisleen
O
Other
3. Complaint reference number *
4. Email of person recording complaint *
2 mail of person recording complaint
5. Email of person complaint was assigned to *
Receiving the complaint
Receiving, recording and acknowledging complaint
6. How was the complaint made? *
o Phone
Email
- Linai
• Letter
•
• Letter
• Letter
• Letter
LetterIn person
 Letter In person Other 7. Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * O Yes
 Letter In person Other 7. Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? *
 Letter In person Other 7. Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * O Yes O No 8. Was the date received recorded correctly on Cirrus?
 Letter In person Other 7. Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * O Yes O No 8. Was the date received recorded correctly on Cirrus? O Yes
 Letter In person Other 7. Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * O Yes O No 8. Was the date received recorded correctly on Cirrus? O Yes O No
 Letter In person Other 7. Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * O Yes O No 8. Was the date received recorded correctly on Cirrus? O Yes O No 9. The complaint was assigned. *
 Letter In person Other Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * Yes O No Was the date received recorded correctly on Cirrus? Yes O No The complaint was assigned. * O Within 24 hours
 Letter In person Other 7. Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * Yes No 8. Was the date received recorded correctly on Cirrus? Yes No 9. The complaint was assigned. * O Within 24 hours O Within three working days
 Letter In person Other Has the original complaint email / letter been uploaded to Sharepoint? * Yes O No Was the date received recorded correctly on Cirrus? Yes O No The complaint was assigned. * O Within 24 hours

Other

O With	ne complaint was acknowledged by letter or email: nin 24 hours of receipt pin three working days
	nin three working days with three working days or not at all
	mar arrest working days or mot at arr
0	
Other	<u> </u>
1 1. H	as the acknowledgement letter been uploaded to Sharepoint?
O Yes	
O No	
0	
Other	
12.	Does the acknowledgement letter set out timescales (eg 20 working days)?
O O No	Yes
ONO	
0	
Other	
13.	Does the acknowledgement letter confirm who the investigating officer will be?
0	Yes
O No	
Other	
14.	Does the acknowledgement letter confirm the key issues of the complaint?
0	Yes
O No	
0	
Other	
15.	Does the acknowledgement letter confirm what outcomes the customer is hoping to
0	achieve? Yes
O No	
0	
Other	
16.	Does the acknowledgement letter outline limitations and exclusions?
0	Yes
O No	
O N/A	
\bigcirc	
Other	W 4 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 6
17.	Was the acknowledgement letter recorded in Cirrus?
0	Yes No
-	· ·

Investigation

How the complaint investigator has gathered and saved evidence to come to a conclusions

- 18. Has evidence been collected and recorded in relation to the complaint and uploaded to Sharepoint?
 - Yes
 - No
 - N/A
 - •
 - Other
- 19. What phrase best describes the evidence gathered?
 - Evidence is clearly identified and recorded in Sharepoint and referenced in Cirrus
 - O Evidence is contained in Sharepoint, but not referenced in Cirrus
 - O Evidence is not clearly available or documented.
- 20. Was the evidence gathered balanced?
 - Yes
 - No
 - N/A
 - _
 - Other

r

Decision about complaint

21.	. 1	Has the response been uploaded to Sharepoint?
		Yes
		No
		N/A
		•
	•	Other
22.	•	Does the tone of the letter reflect Bield ^I s values? Honesty Equality and Diversity
		Ambition
		Dignity
		Inclusion
		Caring Kindness
	• 1	Mildress
	• `	Yes
	•	No
	• [N/A
	•	
	• (Other
23.	ı	s the response letter clear and logical?
	•	o the response letter clear and legical.
	Yes	
•	No	
•	N/A	
•		
	• (Other
24.	ſ	Does response cover all the points raised by the customer?
	Yes	
	No	
	N/A	
-	14/74	
•		
-	• (Other

•	Yes
•	No
•	N/A
•	
•	Other
26	.Apology - if applicable
	 There is a clear original apology for the problems identified. There is a weak or no apology. N/A
27	. Next steps - was the customer told what to do if they were not satisfied?
	YesNo
28	. Is response clearly referenced in Cirrus?
	• Yes
	• O No
	• 0
	• Other

Does the response letter set out the decision clearly?

25.



File management

29. Case files

•	 All relevant documents appear to be on file Limited documentation on file or referred to.
•	Other
Customer fe	edback
30. •	Has contact been made with the customer as part of this quality assurance? Yes No
	3 Complaint Quality Assurance Report
То	Complaint Handler, line manager
Subject	Complaint xxx Quality Assurance Report
Dear X	
I have comple attached.	eted the quality assurance process on complaint XXXX, which you will find
The score ac	hieved for this process is XX.
Please refer t	to the report for detailed information on each section.
If you require your line man	assistance with any aspect of handling complaints, please discuss this with ager.
Best wishes,	